

COLOMBIA · 2026

Ágora Manifesto

Digital Democratic System

Public power returns to the citizenry with written limits, terms that expire and evidence anyone can review.

The bureaucratic apparatus is withdrawn and the people's administration is put in its place. People administer public power; someone elected every four years does not. The institutions that deliver services are not closed: they are overhauled so they work.

Author: **MaikO**



Twelve commitments. Twelve guarantees.

Commitments

1. Every person is a Semilla.
2. Power is not handed over.
3. There are no blank cheques.
4. Rights are the floor.
5. The function matters more than the entity.
6. Knowledge serves; it does not govern.
7. The AI explains and contradicts; it does not decide.
8. Money follows the result.
9. Oversight operates from outside and from within; no one self-certifies.
10. Every sensitive office expires.
11. Every mechanism can be corrected or withdrawn.
12. No one owns Ágora.

Guarantees

1. Limited and revocable mandate.
2. Decision, execution, payment and control kept separate.
3. Identity, ballot box, archive and treasury kept separate.
4. Census and rules sealed before deciding.
5. Secret and verifiable vote.
6. File with ID and To.
7. Minimal data and reasoned reservation.
8. Due process and a distinct recourse.
9. Random audit.
10. No repetition of power.
11. Transition with proof and safe return.
12. Future cost without invented promises.

Integrity by design: separated capabilities, a verifiable trail, contained damage, independent recourse and circular oversight make the capture of the State harder.

We know what they will do

This is not against the public servant who arrives on time and does their job. It is against the powers that live off no one watching and no one being able to correct. When this gains force they will respond, and they will do it in a known order: first they delegitimize, then they close the channels, then they try to break the group from within and, if none of that is enough, they escalate against people. We write it down today so it can be compared tomorrow.

PHASE 1

Delegitimize

First silence: they do not answer, do not mention, do not debate. Then ridicule: «utopian», «they don't know how the State works». Then the label —dictators, anti-democratic, extremists — which describes no one: it authorizes what comes next.

WHAT THEY SEEK

That the proposal never gets discussed on its content.

HOW TO RECOGNIZE IT

The answer is aimed at who is speaking, not at what is proposed: no figure, no mechanism, no rule is ever refuted.

WHAT REDUCES THE DAMAGE

Every document is public, dated and quotable; anyone can set the label beside the text and measure the distance.

PHASE 2

Close the channels

Suspended accounts, reduced reach, demonetized content. Lawsuits and subpoenas that do not seek to be right but to consume years, money and peace; the maneuver has a name: suing to silence. And pressure on the infrastructure: taking down a server is cheaper than rebutting an argument.

WHAT THEY SEEK

That the idea keeps existing but cannot circulate.

HOW TO RECOGNIZE IT

The channel falls before the argument: the megaphone disappears first, and only afterwards, if at all, the content gets discussed.

WHAT REDUCES THE DAMAGE

Nothing depends on a single account or a single site: the documentation copies whole and anyone can publish it again.

PHASE 3

Break it from within

People come in to obstruct, to leak and to divide; not every saboteur arrives head-on or announces themselves. A voice is bought, a fight is planted, an internal scandal is manufactured. Breaking a group from inside costs less than facing it whole.

WHAT THEY SEEK

That the group spend against itself the force it had against the problem.

HOW TO RECOGNIZE IT

Internal conflicts appear with no verifiable origin, and leaks that only favour whoever receives them.

WHAT REDUCES THE DAMAGE

Every decision keeps an accountable person, a date and a record; no one concentrates the project and the method survives any rupture.

PHASE 4

The grave escalation

In Colombia social leaders have been murdered for opposing interests far smaller than this one. Around the world journalists have been killed for exposing corruption. Writing it is not victimhood or exaggeration: it is the record of what already happened to those who touched big money. Let it be clear: the lives of those who push this may be at stake.

WHAT THEY SEEK

To stop the person when they cannot stop the argument.

HOW TO RECOGNIZE IT

Threats, surveillance or violence against those who push the work, not against what the work says.

WHAT REDUCES THE DAMAGE

No one owns Ágora: there is no head to cut off, no company to buy, no firm to tear away. They can go after people; against the method, they cannot.

What this is and what it is not: it describes the pattern that can be expected, not events that occurred, and it describes Ágora's design, not an operation under way: there is no automatic register today that dates these moves. It is written beforehand so that tomorrow, if it happens, the comparison is available and no one has to argue from memory.

Direct answers.

What is Ágora?

A Digital Democratic System to withdraw the bureaucratic apparatus and put the people's administration in its place: SemillaS propose, inform themselves, decide, oversee and correct public power directly. The institutions that deliver services are not closed; they are overhauled so they work.

What is eliminated and what is kept?

What goes is the layer that decides for you today: the blank cheque signed every four years, the duplicated commands and the political layers stacked one on top of another. What stays are the institutions that deliver real services —health, justice, education, taxes, cadastre—, overhauled so they work. And one non-negotiable rule: no useful function is switched off until its replacement has an accountable person, money, control, proof and a safe way back. Ending the apparatus is not leaving anyone without care.

Is Ágora only for Colombia?

No. Colombia is the base country and the first example. Ágora offers the citizenries of the world an open, non-decision-making framework: each country keeps its sovereignty, its law, its context and its exit; no center speaks for it or certifies its democracy.

Who are the SemillaS?

Every citizen and the citizenry as a whole.

What does Ágora build?

The digital column: identity, file, deliberation, ballot box, archive, executable contracts, payment gateway, security, continuity and integrations. Conversations and communities rest on Matrix, an open protocol that keeps the project's social life from depending on a company. Today there is an isolated laboratory with fictional accounts and no federation; the Ágora Cívica experience is a prototype and does not operate.

What do the AIs do?

They explain, compare, contradict and simulate. They publish sources and limits; SemillaS keep the decision.

Where can I see it?

The [public demo](#) walks through an entirely fictional file. The portal also carries two short videos: one walks through that file and another showing, as a labelled reconstruction, how citizen conversation would rest on Matrix. All of it explains the method; none of it accredits identity, voting, money or real state operation.

Who designs the material State?

Architecture brings together Tables from each discipline to build alternatives, costs, risks and transition. SemillaS decide when it is due.

What state is the project in?

Foundations. The design, the 110 mechanisms, the maps, the demo and the technical laboratory exist. There is no real citizen identity, no binding vote, no movement of money and no state operation yet. The public warning describes what can be expected, not events that occurred.

How is power protected?

By separating identity, decision, execution, payment, archive and control; every act keeps its accountable person, clock, evidence and recourse.